

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,627	04/28/2006	Derek Nigel John Hart	DAVI257.004APC	9936	
26995 7590 03018/2010 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SKELDING, ZACHARY S		
FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,	- ,		1644		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/18/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com efiling@kmob.com 2ros@kmob.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/577,627	HART ET AL.			
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ZACHARY SKELDING	1644			
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_			
(1) ZACHARY SKELDING.	(3)				
(2) Raymond Smith.	(4)				
Date of Interview: 12 March 2010	Time:				
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)				
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:			
Part III.					
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/Zachary Skelding/ Examiner, Art Unit 1644	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)			

Application No. 10/577,627

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In a phone conversation around March 1, 2009, described the reasoning of the instant Office Action, which had already written to completion, to applicant's representative and informed him that an alternative to me sending out the aforementioned Office Action would be for applicant to authorize certain examiner's amendments which would put the claims into condition for allowance. Asked applicant's representative to present these options to applicant and get back to me by March 12, 2010. In the phone conversation of March 12, 2010 applicant's representative stated that applicant would prefer to have the Office Action rather than making amendments to the claims at this time.